

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 10/083,175                | 02/26/2002           | Dennis Erenberger    | 10010753-1             | 5122             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75                        | 90 07/06/2006        |                      | EXAM                   | INER             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES,INC. |                      |                      | HARRIS,                | HARRIS, ANTON B  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Departme            |                      |                      | Approxim               | D. DED 340 (DED  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intellectual Prop         | perty Administration |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P.O. Box 7599             |                      |                      | 2831                   | 2831             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loveland, CO              | 80537-0599           |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/06/200 | 6                |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action                      |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | • |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     | , |  |
|-----------------|------------------|---|--|
| 10/083,175      | ERENBERGER ET AL |   |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |   |  |
| Anton B. Harris | 2831             |   |  |

| Advisory Action  | 10/083,175  | ERENBERGER ET   | AL   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anton B. Harris   | 2831  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress   |  |  |  |
| • •  |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| HE REPLY FILED <u>05 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>  | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of   | f the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ).<br>which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a<br>and the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the | ) and the appropriate exte<br>The appropriate extensio<br>final Office action; or (2) | ension fee have<br>n fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS  | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))  | ), to avoid dismissal o   | of the appeal.   |  |  |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>ow);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re  | TE below);<br>educing or simplifying  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   |   | jected claims.  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s   | 121. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | ompliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | llowable if submitted in a separate   | , timely filed amendm   | ent canceling  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |   | ill be entered and an   | explanation of   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fa  | ils to provide a   |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after $\epsilon$   | entry is below or attac   | hed.   |  |  |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by   | it does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa  | nce because:   |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16  | Van a.  | Mila   |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

DEAN A. REICHARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOBY: GENTER N 2890 60621

**Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly cited limitation of "a body mounted on an outside surface of the electronic device, said cable routing

channel comprising an ingress for receiving an external cable from a first outside face of the electronic device, an egress for outputting said external cable to a second outside face of the electronic device, and a guiding path therebetween for removably routing said external cable between said ingress and said egress" would require futher search and consideration.